Direct Heterocyclization of Benzocrown Ethers

Yu. V. Shklyaev,¹ A. A. Gorbunov,¹ Yu. S. Rozhkova,¹ T. S. Vshivkova,¹ V. V. Vazhenin,¹ O. A. Maiorova,¹ A. G. Tolstikov,¹ and V. M. Dembitsky²

¹Institute of Technical Chemistry, Ural Branch, Russian Academy of Sciences, 13 Lenin Str., Perm, 614990, Russian Federation

²Department of Organic Chemistry, P.O. Box 39231, Hebrew University, Jerusalem 91391, Israel

Received 26 November 2004; revised 30 November 2004

ABSTRACT: The synthesis of novel 3,3-dialkyl-3,4dihydroisoquinoline derivatives via direct heterocyclization of benzocrown ethers is described. © 2005 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. Heteroatom Chem 16:192–195, 2005; Published online in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience. wiley.com). DOI 10.1002/hc.20092

INTRODUCTION

In 1967 Pedersen initially observed an affinity of crown compounds (macrocyclic polyethers) to alkali and alkaline earth cations [1]. Since then, several new types of crown's ligands have been synthesized to increase the stability of the cation-crown complex or to improve the cation selectivity of the ligands [2 and references cited there in]. Benzocrown ethers are widely used in the syntheses of biological active compounds [3] and/or derivatives of natural products [4].

Contract grant number: 04-03-95045, Ural.

© 2005 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

There exists a considerable number of publications concerning heterocyclization of benzocrown ethers, but nearly all of them describe the preparation of annulated heterocycles either with the use of functional group preincorporated into a crown-ether benzene ring [5] or through the creation of C—C bond via attack of an already prepared electron-deficient heterocycle onto the benzocrown ether molecule [6].

We continue our studies of 3,3-dialkyl-3,4-dihydroisoquinolines [7,8], and here we report the synthesis of some novel benzocrown ether derivatives.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Recently, we have demonstrated that activated arenes readily participate in tandem with heterocyclization involving isobutyraldehyde and nitriles with different properties [9]. Naturally, it was of great interest to us to apply this synthetic motif toward benzocrown ethers.

Benzo-15-crown-5 (1) and benzo-18-crown-6 (4) were found to yield readily the corresponding 3,4dihydroisoquinoline derivatives 2 and 5. Their hydrolysis (10% sulfuric acid) proceeds thought decarboxylation to give 1-methyl derivatives 3 and 6(Scheme 1).

Enamine form of compounds **2** and **5** was proved by their presence in ¹H NMR spectra with the signals of a vinyl proton (δ = 4.93) and NH (δ = 8.85). Besides, there were found the signals of gemdimethyl groups (δ = 1.20) superimposed on CH₃

Correspondence to: V. M. Dembitsky; e-mail: dvalery@cc.huji. ac.il.

Contract grant sponsor: Russian Federation President's Grant for Supporting Leading Organic Synthesis Schools.

Contract grant number: SS-2020.2003.3.

Contract grant sponsor: Presidium of the Russian Academy of Sciences (RAS).

Contract grant sponsor: Russian Federation Foundation for Basic Research (RFFBR).



SCHEME 1

(ethoxy moiety), 4-CH₂ at $\delta = 1.89$ and 2.66, C5 and C8 aromatic protons of isoquinoline ring ($\delta =$ 6.53 and 7.06 correspondingly), and three groups of nonequivalent protons of ether moieties at $\delta = 3.69$, 4.06, and 4.10. Despite repeated recrystallization of **2**, all the lines in its spectra were widened; this can be a result of interesting interaction of isoquinoline amine group of one molecule with a crownmoiety of another molecule [10]. Hydrolysis of **2** affords **3** (Scheme 1) whose spectra have a "normal" appearance: gem-dimethyl groups ($\delta = 1.11$), 1-CH₃ ($\delta = 2.25$), 4-CH₂ ($\delta = 2.52$), alkoxy groups multiplets ($\delta = 3.69$, 3.86, and 4.10) and aromatic protons ($\delta = 6.56$ and 6.95) are present as narrow peaks.

Interaction of crown-ether **1** and **4** with cyclohexylcarbaldehyde runs in a similar way (Scheme 2) that proves a common character of reaction between α -branched aliphatic aldehydes, nitriles, and 1, 2-dialkoxyarenes.

EXPERIMENTAL

IR spectra were taken in Nujol, using UR-20 spectrometer; ¹H NMR spectra were recorded at 320 MHz



SCHEME 2

on a Mercury-plus-300 spectrometer (CDCl₃, HMDS as internal standard). Reaction progress was monitored by TLC (Silufol U-254, CHCl₃/acetone = 9:1, spots were visualized by 5% toluene solution of chloroanile).

General Procedure for Synthesis of 2, 5, 7, and 8

A mixture of **1** (1 g, 3.7 mmol), cyanoacetic ester (0.45 g, 3.7 mmol), and ~3.7 mmol of isobutyraldehyde (compounds **2** and **5**) or cyclohexylcarbaldehyde (compounds **7** and **8**) in chloroform (15 mL) was added dropwise to conc. sulfuric acid (10 mL) at stirring and cooling. After stirring for 15 min, the reaction mixture was poured into ice (50 g), then basified by (NH₄)₂CO₃ to pH 8, and extracted by methyl-*tert*-butyl ether (3 × 50 mL). The combined ether layers were dried over Na₂SO₄. Evaporation of the solvent and recrystallization of a residue from ethanol afforded 1 g of **2**.

Ethyl Ester of 6,7-(1',4',7',10',13'-Pentaoxatridecylene)-3,3-dimethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolylidene-1-acetic Acid (**2**). 62% yield, mp 162.5–164°C (ethanol). IR ν, (sm⁻¹): 1630; 1595; 1510. ¹H NMR, δ (ppm): 1.24 (t, 3 H, <u>CH</u>₃CH₂O); 1.28–1.80 (m,10 H, pentamet.); 2.67 (s, 2 H, 4-CH₂); 3.60–3.74, 3.80–3.93, 4.00–4.15 (m, O(CH₂)₂O_{crown}); 4.00–4.15 (m, CH₃<u>CH</u>₂O); 4.95 (s, 1 H, CH=C); 6.53 (s, 1 H, H(5)_{aryl}); 7.06 (s, 1 H, H(8)_{aryl}); 9.22 (s, 1 H, NH). The product is distinctly soluble in water.

Ethyl Ester of 6,7-(1',4',7',10',13',16'-Hexaoxahexadecylene)-3,3-dimethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolylidene-1-acetic Acid (**5**). 65% yield, mp 78–80°C (hexane). IR, ν (sm⁻¹): 1630; 1595; 1510. ¹H NMR, δ (ppm): 1.13-1.26 (m, 3,3-Me₂ + <u>CH</u>₃CH₂O); 2.66 (s, 2 H, 4-CH₂); 3.62–3.72, 3.83–3.89, 4.05–4.13 (m, $O(CH_2)_2O_{crown}$); 4.05–4.13 (m, CH_3CH_2O); 4.94 (s, 1 H, CH=C); 6.54 (s, 1 H, $H(5)_{aryl}$); 7.08 (s, 1 H, $H(8)_{aryl}$); 8.86 (s, 1 H, NH).

Ethyl Ester of 6,7-(1',4',7',10',13'-Pentaoxatridecylene)-3,3-pentamethylene-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolylidene-1-acetic Acid (7). 48% yield, mp 189–191°C (isopropanol-ethanol). IR ν (sm⁻¹): 1630; 1595; 1510. ¹H NMR δ (ppm): 1.24 (t, 3 H, CH₃CH₂O); 1.28–1.80 (m,10 H, pentamethylene.); 2.67 (s, 2 H, 4-CH₂); 3.60–3.74, 3.80–3.93, 4.00–4.15 [m, O(CH₂)₂O_{crown}]; 4.00–4.15 (m, CH₃CH₂O); 4.95 (s, 1 H, CH=C); 6.53 (s, 1 H, H(5)_{aryl}); 7.06 (s, 1 H, H(8)_{aryl}); 9.22 (s, 1 H, NH).

Ethyl Ester of 6,7-(1',4',7',10',13',16'-Hexaoxahexadecylene)-3,3-pentamethylene-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolylidene-1-acetic Acid (**8**). 46% yield, mp 67–70°C (hexane). IR ν (sm⁻¹): 1650; 1595; 1510. ¹H NMR δ (ppm): 1.10–1.62 (m,10 H, pentamethylene); 1.24 (t, 3 H, <u>CH</u>₃CH₂O); 2.67 (s, 2 H, 4-CH₂); 3.60–3.73, 3.80–3.90, 4.07–4.15 [m, O(CH₂)₂O_{crown}]; 4.04–4.16 (q, CH₃<u>CH</u>₂O) [total intensity of 22H]; 4.95 (s, 1 H, CH=C); 6.54 (s, 1 H, H(5)_{aryl}); 7.06 (s, 1 H, H(8)_{aryl}); 9.22 (s, 1 H, NH).

General Procedure for Synthesis of 3 and 6

An ester **2** (1 g) was dissolved in 10% H₂SO₄ (15 mL); the reaction mixture was heated for 2 h, cooled down to r.t., basified by (NH₄)₂CO₃ to pH 8 and extracted by methyl-*tert*-butyl ether (3×50 mL). Combined ether layers were dried over Na₂SO₄, whereupon the solvent was evaporated, and an obtained residue was purified by recrystallization from ethanol to give 0.6 g of **3**.

1,3,3-Trimethyl-6,7-(1',4',7',10',13'-pentaoxadecylene)-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline (**3**). 70% yield, mp 114–116°C. ¹³C NMR δ (ppm): 21.55; 26.09; 36.70; 51.66; 66.74; 67.52; 67.78; 68.16; 68.49; 68.75; 69.07; 69.20; 110.89; 111.02; 119.95; 128.95; 145.26; 149.53; 158.66. ¹H NMR δ (ppm): 1.11 (s, 6 H, 3-Me); 2.25 (s, 3 H, 1-Me); 2.52 (s, 2 H, 4-CH₂); 3.69, 3.85, 4.08 (m, O(CH₂)₂O_{crown}); 6.56 (s, 1 H, H(5)_{aryl});6.96 (s, 1 H, H(8)_{aryl}).

1,3,3-Trimethyl-6,7-(1',4',7',10',13',17'-hexaoxadecylene)-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline (**6**). ~65% yield, mp 88.5–90.5°C (hexane). ¹H NMR δ (ppm): 1.12 (s, 3 H, 3-Me); 1.97 (s, 3 H, 3-Me); 2.26 (s, 3 H, 1-Me); 2.53 (s, 2 H, 4-CH₂); 3.62–3.73, 3.86–3.89, 4.10–4.14 (m, O(CH₂)₂O_{crown}); 6.56 (c, 1 H, H(5)_{aryl}.); 6.96 (c, 1 H, H(8)_{aryl}).

General Procedure for Synthesis of 9 and 10

A mixture of **1** (1 g, 3.7 mmoL), methylthiocyanate (0.3 g, 4.1 mmoL), and cyclohexylcarbaldehyde (0.42 g, 3.75 mmoL) in chloroform (15 mL) was added dropwise to conc. sulfuric acid (10 mL) at stirring and cooling. After stirring for 15 min, the reaction mixture was poured into ice (50 g), then basified by $(NH_4)_2CO_3$ to pH 8, and extracted by methyl-*tert*-butyl ether (3×50 mL). The combined ether layers were dried over Na₂SO₄. Evaporation of the solvent and recrystallization of a residue from hexane afforded 1.12 g (70%) of **9**.

1-Methylthio-6,7-(1',4',7',10',13'-pentaoxatridecylene)-3,3-pentamethylene-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline (9). mp 115.5–117.5°C (hexane). IR ν (sm⁻¹): 1600; 1520; 1470. ¹H NMR δ (ppm): 1.24–1.76 (m,10 H, pentamethylene); 2.37 (s, 3 H, SCH₃); 2.58 (s, 2 H, 4-CH₂); 3.58–3.73, 3.80–3.90, 4.07–4.09 [m, 20H, O(CH₂)₂O_{crown}]; 6.54 (s, 1 H, H(5)_{aryl}; 7.09 (s, 1 H, H(8)_{aryl}).

1-Methylthio-6,7-(1',4',7',10',13',16'-Hexaoxahexadecylene)-3,3-pentamethylene-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline (**10**). 67% yield, mp118–120°C (hexane). IR ν (sm⁻¹): 1600; 1520; 1470. ¹H NMR δ (ppm): 1.24–1.72 (m, 10 H, pentamethylene); 2.37 (s, 3 H, SCH₃); 2.51 (s, 2 H, 4-CH₂); 3.60–3.80, 3.82–3.90, 4.09–4.13 [m, O(CH₂)₂O_{crown}]; 6.56 (c, 1 H, H(5)_{aryl}); 7.11 (c, 1 H, H(8)_{aryl}).

REFERENCES

- [1] Pedersen, C. J. J Am Chem Soc 1967, 89, 7017– 7036.
- [2] (a) Gromov, S. P.; Dmitrieva, S. N.; Vedernikov, A. I.; Kuzmina, L. G.; Churakov, A. V.; Strelenko, Y. A.; Howard, J. A. K. Eur J Org Chem 2003, 16, 3189-3199; (b) Gromov, S. P.; Vedernikov, A. I.; Ushakov, E. N.; Kuz'mina, L. G.; Feofanov, A. V.; Avakyan, V. G.; Churakov, A. V.; Alaverdyan, Y. S.; Malysheva, E. V.; Alfimov, M. V.; Howard, J. A. K.; Eliasson, B.; Edlund, U. G. Helv Chim Acta 2002, 85, 60-81; (c) Barboiu, M.; Hovnanian, N.; Luca, C.; Popescu, G.; Cot, L. Eur J Org Chem 1998, 8, 1705–1708; (d) Lee, L. H.; Lynch, V.; Lagow, R. J.; J Chem Soc, Perkin Trans I 2000, 2805–2809; (e) Trultzsch, R.; Beger, J.; Buschmann, H. J.; Schollmeyer, E. J Sol Chem 1994, 23, 53-61; (f) Trultzsch, R.; Beger, J.; Wolf, G.; Buschmann, H. J. J Prakt Chem 1994, 336, 5-10; (g) Lhermet, C.; Morel, J. P.; Angley, L.; Simonet, J. Electroanal 1991, 3, 677-681.
- [3] (a) Hubin, T. J.; Busch, D. H. Coordinat Chem Rev 2000, 200–202, 5–52; (b) Melson, G. Coordination Chemistry of Macrocyclic Compounds; Plenum: New York, 1979; (c)Parker, C. (Ed.), Macrocyclic

Synthesis: A Practical Approach; Oxford University Press: Oxford, 1996.

- [4] (a) Rakhimov, A. A.; Vinogradova, V. I.; Tashmukhamedova, A. K. Chem Nat Comp 2002, 38, 344–346; (b) Barboiu, M. D.; Hovnanians, N. D.; Luca, C.; Cot, L. Tetrahedron 1999, 55, 9221–9232; (c) Barboiu, M.; Supuran, C. T.; Scozzafava, A.; Briganti, F.; Luca, C.; Popescu, G.; Cot, L, Hovnanian, N. Liebigs Ann Recueil 1997, 1853–1859; (d) Murata, K.; Aoki, M.; Nishi, T.; Ikeda, A.; Shinkai, S. J Chem Soc, Chem Commun 1991, 1715–1718; (e) Wu, C. T.; He, Y. B.; Fu, E. Q. Chin J Org Chem 2001, 21, 914–922.
- [5] (a) Ke, W. J.; Xu, H. S.; Liu, X. F.; Luo, X. H. Heterocycles 2000, 53, 1821–1836; (b) Gromov, S. P.; Razinkin, M. A.; Drach, V. S.; Sergeev, S. A. Russ Chem Bull

1998, 6, 1210–1214; (c) Ungaro, R.; El Haj, B.; Smid, J. J Am Chem Soc 1976, 98, 5198–5200.

- [6] Chupakhin, O. N.; Rusinov, G. L.; Bersnev, D. G.; Itsikson, N. A. Zh Org Khim (Russ) 1999, 35, 1278– 1282.
- [7] Shklyaev, Y. V.; Ismagilov, R. R.; Rozhkova, Y. S.; Fatykhov, A. A.; Abdrakhmanov, I. B.; Tolstikov, A. G.; Dembitsky, V. M. Heteroatom Chem 2004, 15, 471– 476.
- [8] Shklyaev, Y. V.; Yeltsov, M. A.; Rozhkova, Y. S.; Tolstikov, A. G.; Dembitsky, V. M. Heteroatom Chem 2004, 15, 486–493.
- [9] Shklyaev, Y. V.; Nifontov, Y. V. Russ Chem Bull. 2002, 51, 844–849.
- [10] Terehova, I. V.; Kulikov, O. V.; Barannikov, V. P. Russ J Gen Chem 2004, 8, 1313–1316.